

θ -TERM AND COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT FROM CJD ACTION

PRASANTA MAHATO*

*Department of Mathematics, Narasinha Dutt College
HOWRAH, West Bengal, INDIA 711101*

In the gravity without metric formalism of Capovilla, Jacobson and Dell, the topological θ -term appears through a canonical transformation. The origin of this canonical transformation is probed here. It is shown here that when θ -term appears cosmological λ -term also appears simultaneously.

PACS numbers : 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Fy

Key words : Canonical transformation, Torsion, θ -term, Cosmological constant

Einstein originally formulated the theory of gravitation as a set of differential equations obeyed by the metric tensor of space-time. Ashtekar^{1,2} has rewritten Einstein's theory, in its hamiltonian formulation as a set of differential equations obeyed by an $SO(3)$ connection and its canonically conjugate momentum. A Lagrangian formulation, in which the variables are the space-time tetrads and the self-dual spin connection, was given by Samuel³, Jacobson and Smolin⁴.

If tetrad is invertible, one may eliminate the spin connection to obtain the conventional Hilbert action, plus a (complex) surface term, so that the Lagrangian formulation is given entirely in terms of the tetrad. However, it is clearly natural to enquire whether one can give a Lagrangian formulation of Ashtekar's theory in which the metric or tetrad, has been completely eliminated in favour of the connection. This has been done by Capovilla, Jacobson and Dell^{5,6}.

Bengtsson and Peldan⁷ have shown that if one performs a particular canonical transformation involving Ashtekar variables and corresponding $SO(3)$ gauge fields, the expression for the Hamiltonian constraint changes when other constraints remain unaffected. This corresponds precisely to the addition of a "CP-violating" θ -term to the CJD Lagrangian. Mullick and Bandyopadhyay⁸ have shown that this "CP-violating" θ -term is responsible for non-zero torsion. This θ -term effectively corresponds to the chiral anomaly when a fermion chiral current interacts with a gauge field. In a recent paper⁹, it has been shown that chiral anomaly gives rise to the mass of a fermion which implies that for a massive fermion the divergence of the axial vector current (which is associated with torsion via θ -term) is non-vanishing.

*e-mail: pmahato@dataone.in

The well-known action in terms of Ashtekar variables in its (3+1)-dimensional form can be written directly as^{7,10,11},

$$S = \int \dot{A}_{ai} E_i^a - NH - N^a H_a - \lambda_i G_i \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } H = \frac{1}{2} i f_{ijk} E_i^a E_j^b F_{abk} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} i \epsilon_{abc} f_{ijk} E_i^a E_j^b B_k^c \quad (3)$$

$$H_a = E_i^b F_{abi} = \epsilon_{abc} E_i^b B_i^c \quad (4)$$

$$G_i = D_a E_i^a = \partial_a E_i^a + i f_{ijk} A_{aj} E_k^a. \quad (5)$$

Here a, b, c are spatial indices, i, j, k are SO(3) indices, F_{abi} is an SO(3) curvature and $B_i^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} F_{bci}$ is the corresponding “magnetic” field. N, N^a are the lapse and shift functions respectively and λ_i is a multiplier. These yield three kinds of constraints, viz., the Hamiltonian constraint $H \approx 0$, the vector constraint $H_a \approx 0$ and the SO(3) vector’s worth $G_i \approx 0$ of “internal” constraints which here takes the form of Gauss’ law for the “electric” field E_i^a . The tensor $q^{ab} = g^{ab}$, where g^{ab} is the metric tensor on the foliating hypersurface and g is its determinant, is given by $q^{ab} = E_i^a E_i^b$. Here the fundamental Poisson bracket is $\{A_{ai}(x), E^{bj}(y)\} = \delta_a^b \delta_i^j \delta^3(x - y)$.

Capovilla, Jacobson and Dell^{5,6}(CJD) found a very elegant Lagrangian formulation of the above. The CJD action can be written as^{7,10},

$$S^{CJD} = \frac{1}{8} \int \eta (\Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij} + a \Omega_{ii} \Omega_{jj}) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where } \Omega_{ij} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta i} F_{\gamma\delta j}, \quad (7)$$

here $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are space-time indices, the Lagrangian multiplier η is a scalar density of weight -1, such that $\frac{1}{\eta} d^4x$ is an invariant volume element, and $F_{\alpha\beta i}$ is an SO(3) field strength. The 3+1 dimensional decomposition of this action yields Ashtekar’s action directly provided that the parameter $a = -1/2$ and that the determinant of the “magnetic” field B_i^a is nonzero.

In this way, the equivalence to Einstein’s theory is established. Indeed, with $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ the equivalence to Einstein’s theory may also be shown directly in a manifestly covariant way. This demonstration hinges on the tetrad formalism and the space-time metric may be given directly in terms of the curvature when we can write^{10,12},

$$(-g)^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{2i}{3}\eta K^{\alpha\beta} \quad (8)$$

$$= -\frac{2i}{3}\eta f_{ijk}\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma\delta\rho}\epsilon^{\beta\mu\nu\sigma}F_{\gamma\delta i}F_{\rho\sigma j}F_{\mu\nu k}. \quad (9)$$

The constraint that is obtained when the CJD action is varied with respect to the Lagrangian multiplier η is actually the Hamiltonian constraint in disguise⁷:

$$\psi = \Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ii}\Omega_{jj} \quad (10)$$

$$= i(2\eta^2 \det B)^{-1}H. \quad (11)$$

In this formalism the momentum canonically conjugate to the connection takes the form⁷

$$E_i^a = \psi_{ij}B_j^a \quad (12)$$

$$\text{where } \psi_{ij} = 2\eta(\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\Omega_{kk}). \quad (13)$$

Here we note that such a matrix ψ_{ij} always exists provided that the magnetic field is non-degenerate. If we insert this matrix in the vector constraint $H_a \approx 0$, we find that the vanishing of the vector constraint is equivalent to the statement that the matrix is symmetric. We also note that as long as $\det B \neq 0$, $\psi \approx 0$, $H \approx 0$ are equivalent statements. Gauss' law $G_i \approx 0$ follows when the action is varied with respect to A_{0i} .

Bengtsson and Peldan⁷ have shown that if we perform the canonical transformation,

$$A_{ai} \longrightarrow A_{ai}, \quad (14)$$

$$E_i^a \longrightarrow E_i^a - \theta B_i^a, \quad (15)$$

the expression for the Hamiltonian constraint changes though the remaining constraints are unaffected. This corresponds precisely to the addition of a "CP-violating" θ -term to the CJD lagrangian, when the new action is given by,

$$S = \frac{1}{8} \int \{\theta \Omega_{ii} + \eta(\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ii}\Omega_{jj})\}. \quad (16)$$

In CJD formalism A_{ai} and E_i^a are Ashtekar variables whereas A_{0i} acts as a Lagrange's multiplier. Variation of A_{0i} yields the Gauss'

law $G_i \approx 0$. In the above canonical transformation we see that as $A_{ai} \longrightarrow A_{ai}$ we have $B_i^a \longrightarrow B_i^a$. Then $\psi_{ij} = E_i^a (B^{-1})_{aj}$ transforms to $\psi_{ij} - \theta \delta_{ij}$. This gives $\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\eta}(\psi_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\psi_{kk}) \rightarrow \Omega_{ij} + \frac{\theta}{\eta}\delta_{ij}$. Now $\Omega_{ij} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta i} F_{\gamma\delta j} = 4(F_{0ai} B_j^a + F_{0aj} B_i^a)$ implies F_{0ai} must transform accordingly to produce the desired canonical transformation. We can write $F_{0ai} = D_a A_{0i} - \dot{A}_{ai}$. Therefore if we consider $A_{0i} \longrightarrow A_{0i} + \epsilon_i$, where ϵ_i is a vector having only internal index, then we get

$$D_a \epsilon_i B_j^a + D_a \epsilon_j B_i^a = \frac{\theta}{4\eta} \delta_{ij}. \quad (17)$$

This equation has a solution for $D_a \epsilon_i$ given by

$$D_a \epsilon_i = \frac{\theta}{8\eta} (B^{-1})_{ai}. \quad (18)$$

Thus to incorporate a ‘‘CP-violating’’ θ term in the CJD action by means of a canonical transformation one should transform the gauge fields in the following way

$$A_{ai} \longrightarrow A_{ai}, \quad (19)$$

$$A_{0i} \longrightarrow A_{0i} + \epsilon_i, \quad (20)$$

where ϵ_i is an internal vector satisfying equation(18), then we see that,

$$\Omega_{ij} \longrightarrow \Omega_{ij} + \frac{\theta}{\eta} \delta_{ij}, \quad (21)$$

$$\psi_{ij} \longrightarrow \psi_{ij} - \theta \delta_{ij}, \quad (22)$$

$$E_i^a \longrightarrow E_i^a - \theta B_i^a, \quad (23)$$

$$\text{and } B_i^a \longrightarrow B_i^a. \quad (24)$$

By this transformation the CJD Lagrangian transforms in the following way,

$$\frac{1}{8}\eta(\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ii}\Omega_{jj}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{8}\{\eta(\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ii}\Omega_{jj}) - \theta\Omega_{ii} - \frac{3}{2\eta}\theta^2\}.$$

Therefore the action becomes,

$$S = \frac{1}{8} \int \{\eta(\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ii}\Omega_{jj}) - \theta\Omega_{ii} - \frac{3}{2\eta}\theta^2\}. \quad (25)$$

Einstein introduced cosmological constant to explain static nature of the universe. In particle physics, the cosmological constant turns out to be a measure of the energy density of the vacuum - the state of lowest energy. The Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian for pure gravity with cosmological constant is¹¹

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{EH}} = e(e_I^\alpha e_J^\beta R_{\alpha\beta}^{IJ}(\omega(e)) + 2\lambda) \quad (26)$$

where e_I^α is the tetrad field, $R_{\alpha\beta}^{IJ}(\omega(e))$ is the curvature of the unique torsion-free spin-connection ω_{IJ}^α , compatible with e_I^α and $e = \frac{1}{\det(e_I^\alpha)}$. The “CP-violating” θ term in the action(25) does not contribute to the equations of motion and to the energy momentum tensor. $\mathcal{P} \equiv -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{tr}^* F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ is called the “Pontryagin density” and $q = \int \mathcal{P} d^4x$, being a topological invariant, is called the Pontryagin index. The presence of the θ -term in the action does not alter the theory perturbatively, since it is a topological term. Therefore, keeping aside the topological consideration of the θ -term, we can compare the other terms of the action(25) with that of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian(26) and then we can write $\lambda = -\frac{3}{32}\theta^2$. At present, in the large scale structure of space-time, λ is found to be zero. Thus one may assume $\theta \approx 0$ in macroscopic gravity in vacua. But this may not be the case in micro-domain of matter or in early universe. This suggests that in Planck scale, the torsion and hence the cosmological term may have a significant role.

Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Prof. Pratul Bandyopadhyay, Indian Statistical Institute, for his remarks and suggestions on this problem.

References

1. A. Ashtekar. *New Perspective in Canonical Gravity*. Bibliopolis, Naples, 1988.
2. A. Ashtekar. *Phys. Rev.*, D36:1587, 1987.
3. J. Samuel. *Pramana*, 28:L429, 1987.
4. T. Jacobson and L.Smolín. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 5:583, 1988.
5. R. Capovilla, T. Jacobson and J. Dell. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 63:2325, 1989.
6. R. Capovilla, T. Jacobson and J. Dell. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 8:59, 1991.
7. I. Bengtsson and P. Peldan. *Phys. Lett.*, B244:261, 1990.
8. L. Mullick and P. Bandyopadhyay. *J. Math. Phys.*, 36:370, 1995.
9. P. Bandyopadhyay. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A15:4107, 2000.
10. I. Bengtsson and P. Peldan. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A7:1287, 1992.
11. P. Peldan. : Actions for gravity with generalisations : A review. *University of Goteborg preprint ITP-93-13*, gr-qc-9305011.
12. P. Peldan. *Phys. Lett.*, B248:62, 1990.